

THE HERTLER REPORT

*Weekly Coverage and Reporting of Current Events, Issues, and Trends
of The Republic for the United States of America*
By Jean Hertler, Wednesday, September 18, 2013

The Republic News Network program is produced every Thursday evening by Acting Secretary of State Jeanine Stewart.

A welcome and brief introduction to the Republic for the United States of America was given by host and moderator, Kelby Smith. Specifically announcing a hearty welcome to “the people of the all-capital-letter “UNITED STATES OF AMERICA” corporation,” his welcome was extended to law enforcement, “14th Amendment citizens” and the men and women of our Armed Services. Kelby stated that the Republic government is not a “movement,” but the original American government called, “the Republic for the United States of America” that it has been “re-inhabited. “

Kelby continued by stating that the Republic for the United States of America consists of law-abiding and peaceful Americans. “We are loving, we’re forgiving, we are fathers, we are mothers, we are sons, we are daughters, we have families just like you.” As importantly Kelby states that “RuSA” is not composed of what is known as “sovereign citizens,” or as being anti-government. “We simply found some truths and we’re now sharing these important truths with the rest of the world.” He prepared his audience to hear things that may sound different and almost impossible, but true.

Just as our Founding Fathers and the early Americans began and closed their meetings in prayer, the Republic follows this same tradition. Speaker of the House of Representatives John Rockwell (Oregon) opened this evening’s program in prayer.

Kelby continued by sharing his personal experience of an awakening realization in 2008 when the government of his beloved country made what he describes as a horrible decision related to the banking industry. Then a licensed real estate broker in California, a primary function of Kelby’s job entailed assisting his clients in obtaining mortgage loans. Describing himself as a typical and proud American citizen that supported and saluted then President George W. Bush, Kelby said he also stayed abreast of current events by watching televised Fox News. Still reeling from the catastrophic attack in America on 9/11/2001, he shares that he was yet angry at the Middle Eastern people that Americans were told had purportedly crafted the attack on America that day.

Kelby advises, “Now I understand a different story.” He talked about watching the televised news reports of our government approving \$800 billion of taxpayer-responsible money be given to the banks as a bailout for what was told to the American people would prevent the calamity of the Federal Reserve Banking System going down. “This bailout was for the express purposes of giving the banks a reprieve so that they could operate. [We were told that] without this money they were going to fail.”

Reflecting on news reports of then-Treasury Secretary Henry Paulson on his knees before then-Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi with an urgent plea to save his plan to rescue the banks, Kelby understood the Secretary of the Treasury was asking the most powerful woman in Congress to approve the bailout. His plan included a means where the government would assist in buying mortgage-backed securities that were in danger of defaulting.

Kelby made some rather stunning points of fact in what steered him to where he is today. Kelby points to his discovery of striking connections between Henry Paulson, Paulson's brother Richard who is a salesman at Lehman Brothers, the 4th largest investment bank and major contributor to the 2008 banking crisis as well as playing a major role in the unfolding current global financial crisis. Kelby asked his listeners to add in the mix of relationships of these two brothers, the current Treasury Secretary Timothy Geitner, who previously and significantly held the office of president of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Also, Kelby points out their connections to a gentleman who may end up as the new Federal Reserve Chairman and vaguely suggested a background which brings concern. "If you could see a map of [their] connections ... and understand those relationships, you would get a much different picture about whether or not the [privately-owned] Federal Reserve [Banking System] is there to protect Americans and to give us low-interest rate loans, or if they are there for the purposes of bleeding America dry."

Kelby points out that each year, of **ALL** the taxes that the American taxpayers are charged and that go to the Federal Reserve toward the payment of the national debt, does not meet the minimum payment on just the interest-portion of the payment. There is nothing left of all of the tax money to even consider paying toward the principal-part of the debt. Kelby stresses that this in itself is a whole topic.

Pertaining to the privately-owned, non-government Federal Reserve Banking System, Kelby stresses the fact that in 1913 Congress had given a supposed 100-year contract via the Federal Reserve Act which was signed into law by President Woodrow Wilson on December 23, 1913. He challenged his audience to verify the facts of this matter. Continuing on, he points to the fact that of taxpayer money - \$800 billion - that was congressionally allocated to bailout the banks to assist them in continuing operations, nothing has been repaid in the last 5 years. Additionally, not one bank or government official has been charged and taken into custody for the tremendous crimes committed against the American people. Nor have there been reviews as to when, where, why, and how the moneys are going to be repaid.

Kelby goes on, "Well, it's a corporation. We need to stop thinking that they're operating like a government on behalf of 'by and for' the People because they're not. They're a corporation acting on behalf of 'by and for' the Board of Directors which, in my opinion, is not acting on behalf of 'by and for' the 'stockholders.' I personally believe that we are the stockholders and we are ultimately the liability for the Corporation. **We** are the collateral according to HJR192 [House Joint Resolution 192] of 1933 of the Corporation [municipal government] meaning that our physical bodies and future "sweat equity" have a value which [is referenced in writing and] can be viewed in the Social Security Administrative Act of 1935."

“So in a system and structure where there is no money, there are only “digits,” ...the perception of money or digits going back and forth is very important.” Kelby is referring to the fact that lawful money as defined in the Constitution for the United States of America is gold and silver coin for the tender in payment of debts. Many Americans may not have the awareness that President Franklin Delano Roosevelt called-in the peoples’ gold in 1933 leaving us with valueless paper money known as “Federal Reserve Notes,” also known as I.O.U. debt notes.

Kelby points out that what our government officials did in loaning \$800 billion “digits” to the banks, instead of giving it to the people, further points to greater concerns in the illusion or magic trick of what was actually done when viewed in another light. He asks his listeners to reason the scenarios if the “money” were instead given to the American people that were losing their homes to foreclosure, versus giving it as a “bailout,” claiming it necessary to make-up for losses that Wall Street investment bankers incurred by using mortgage-backed “securities” in a huge gambling addiction. Kelby drives home the point that if they would have given it to the people who were in default on their mortgages, every single home that was in danger of foreclosure would have been paid-off. Additionally, and amazing in realization, the money would have ended up going to the banks anyway. Exhorting his audience to the incredible mind-blowing reality, there is also the consideration of the fact that the banks take ownership of the peoples’ houses through foreclosure. America is being evicted and left homeless.

Mortgage-backed securities, in simpler terms, are stocks that are created and given worth by using mortgage loans as collateral. Investment banks gamble with those stocks in the market putting the hard-asses – or peoples’ houses – at risk. The world of finance has been made so complicated to comprehend, in addition to the illusion of what “money” really is – or isn’t – that master manipulators have crafted a means to make the peoples’ wealth disappear. The ultimate trick was in the deception of the disappearance of wealth when realistically it was simply transferred to someone else’s pockets. The “disappearance act” includes the illusion of government officials’ lack of holding accountable anyone in this great deception. Government is created through the Constitution on behalf of the American people. Their elected or appointed officials are sworn-in on oath to support the Constitution which was designed to represent and protect “We the People.”

“Wait a minute,” Kelby stated. He holds the light bulb up to the picture of the just-painted-banking-scheme to his audience to wrap their minds around in thought process and turned the wattage up on the light by restating his point. He asked them to consider that Congress could have represented the American peoples’ interests by arranging the bailout to go to them, allowing them to retain their homes in these hard economic times but instead their representatives in government gave it to “banksters,” knowing fully that there was no intention of repayment. Kelby stated that the bankers then arrogantly used the government bailout moneys in gambling schemes that actually brought a positive return that could have repaid their debt.

“So why are we in this position,” Kelby asked his audience. “Is it because we have a de facto [color-of-law but not law-in-fact] Congress that loves to serve the people? No.” Placing a challenge to think forward, Kelby suggests that the banks are running the government and that there is an “end-game” strategy as “the Federal Reserve clock is up.” He reminds his audience of the manipulations of stock

that have occurred throughout history to the bankers' own personal gain. And then Kelby points to the last 20-25 years of the U.S. government manipulating scenarios which enabled them to enter and then dominate the private sector in business. Kelby interjected a reminder that the UNITED STATES is a corporation so, in actuality, it is "private sector" dominating the private sector. "We call it 'government' but it's a private corporation. It's not traded publicly. We are not able to look at the who or what of the books of this corporation." Kelby challenges his listeners to do their own homework and research the fact that the government is really a corporation as listed on Dunn & Bradstreet at www.dnb.com. "You can [also] see it's a corporation at delaware.gov."

For an individual newly exposed to these hard facts, Kelby dropped another "bomb." "Do you know that [the U.S. government] Corporation is incorporated and it's supposed that there are over 150,000 sub-corporations that are called 'cities,' 'municipalities,' 'burros,' and 'courts?' So what is their intent and purpose in doing this?" Kelby adds that a look at mainstream news will also prove that thousands of Americans have been incarcerated without due process in law with a trial by jury and the jury consisting of their peers, as guaranteed in the Constitution.

Kelby conveyed that friends who had been trained in the United States Army and for the last 10 years had been told there was going to be domestic terrorism here on our land by 2011. 2011 has come and passed. "They never get their time structures right, but they get the **business plan** right." Four Stars retired Army General Wesley Clark talks publicly on YouTube about the fact that there's been a plan since 2001 with a strategy to take over the Middle East for the purposes of oil. "So everything that's been playing in the news about Syria is only a part of the business model. The goal includes the 750 billion oil reserves off the shore of Syria." General Wesley Clark named the 7 countries in the government "business plan" with only 2 countries left to go: Syria and Iran. The municipal Corporation continually violates the Constitution by attacking other countries that have not physically attacked us or American soil.

Having just observed 9/11 the day before this program, Kelby expressed his condolences to those who lost loved ones that hard day. Uniformly ignored by mainstream media, Kelby points out that there is abundant and clear evidence that a number of items suggest or indicate a (criminal) foreknowledge of the attacks on the World Trade Center buildings such as:

- New York City firemen stating that they heard 'bomb blasts' from the basement floor of the collapsing building.
- Various witnesses' accounts that there was a bump on the outside of the plane that had flown into the South Tower, Building #2 of the World Trade Center. No commercial aircraft has a bump as described; however, the description does match that of specific military arsenal.
- Witness accounts of visible plumes of smoke blowing down the sides of the building which depict the detonation of explosives as done in building demolition.
- Larry Silverstein, the owner of the Twin Towers as well as Building #7, talking to news reporters on camera that day stating that Building #7 had extensive damage and so they had to "pull-it." 'Pull-it' is a demolition term, an obvious slip of the tongue on Mr. Silverstein's part.

- One month earlier Mr. Silverstein took out a \$2 billion insurance plan with Lloyds of London in the event that terrorism would damage his World Trade Center buildings.
- An obvious insider trading scam the day before in the stock market related to United Airlines stock. It was reported as being United Airlines planes that were hijacked that day.

Kelby concluded, "What I just stated is all true facts. If that's a fact and I'm not crazy, what kind of country do we live in?"

The next segment of the program introduced a 12-minute audio from a YouTube video, "Take Your Money Out of the Bank!" which can be viewed at:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M-2eJQqXm7s&list=TLsASqVyCsDIM>

Kelby advised the audience that the presentation reflects the plans and official documents of what the government has orchestrated to seize the American people's savings, retirement funds, and "money." He tells the people, "This isn't to scare you, this is just to say 'we warned you' because the documents that support this video are very real and you're about to [experience] them."

Following is a transcript from the 12-minute YouTube video, "Take Your Money Out of the Bank!"

Narrator - Picture yourself in one of these scenarios. You go shopping and your credit card transaction is denied despite the fact that you know you have money in your account. Or you go to an ATM machine and you're informed that your withdrawal request has been denied. Or, you're a public official such as a school business administrator, county treasurer, municipal finance manager, pension fund administrator, or anyone who has responsibility for protecting public taxpayer funds. You're informed that all accounts have been frozen until further notice. As you investigate why you can't access money you know should be available, you find out that the bank that's failed and has been closed until further notice by the FDIC. You also discover that the government will be confiscating part of your deposits in order to stabilize the bank. You believe that this can't happen here because the FDIC protects your money. You may have placed your money into one of the big banks because it has large vaults and is protected by the government. You may have placed public moneys into a large bank because they're collateralized and the government will back them, therefore, you think these funds are safe. All of these assumptions are not based on facts. Perhaps you recall that in Cyprus, depositors' money was confiscated in order to stabilize the banks. Similar plans are already in place to do the same in the U.S. and other countries. In a nutshell, the banks in Cyprus were overleveraged to the point that their liabilities exceeded their Gross Domestic Product. Because the global bailouts, large banks in 2008 were so politically unpopular, a global banking charter of the International Monetary Fund, the European Central Bank, and the European Union imposed a bail-in in which bank customers would have had some of their savings seized in order to stabilize the banks. The losses to some clients were as high as 60 percent.

Contributing Financial Expert: Cyprus was the test run. That's when the European Union kind of let the cat out of the bag what they planned to do on a bigger scale but depositors took a real haircut indirectly, though of course, the big boys got out. In the days before they went after the

depositors' money you know, small time saver 150 million billion dollars, I forget the number, left the Cyprus banks because the insiders knew what was coming and the insiders will know what's coming when the next U.S. bank burns down. They'll get out and they'll leave the mom and pop depositors and maybe city government holding the bag.

Narrator - In order to protect themselves, the Cyprus government closed the banks 12 days. People had limited access to their money. Long lines formed at the ATM machine.

Contributing Financial Expert: The fact is that the confiscations in Cyprus were not a one-time event. The eventuality for this had already been planned in advance and there are plans in place for confiscations of depositor accounts in New Zealand, the European Union, Canada, England, and the United States. And we thank God for the administration and people think, 'well that's for bailouts.' No, no that's not it. Actually it's just going to be a bail-in and in order to maintain liquidity in failed banks the European Union and the Federal Reserve have a policy bailing in which means you seize depositors' deposits. Those could be mom and pop of their \$250,000. It can be a city's deposits on deposit. It could be bondholders. That's what happened in Cyprus. That's what's happening right now with the cooperative bank in London, England and that's what the Federal Depositors Insurance Corporation and the Bank of England had jointly agreed to do here in the United States.

Narrator - The large global and Wall Street banks are the ones at most risk because they've been gambling with depositor money on risky derivative bets and other speculative investment devices which means that when, not if, these bets start going bad, the banks will be on the hook for their deficient value.

According to the Bank for International Settlements which is essentially a central bank to the world central banks, the notional value of these derivative contracts is an astounding \$700 trillion. Think 700,000 billion dollars. The entire world's entire GDP is only \$70 trillion. There's not enough money on the planet to cover these bets. But most people don't understand is that once you give a bank your money, the money is legally no longer yours. Under the law depositors are considered unsecured creditors to the bank and are treated as such under any bankruptcy proceeding. This type of loss happened with the collapse of MF Global and while MF Global was a futures trading company and not a bank, the blueprint for confiscations was delivered here. The losses of customer funds were upheld by the legal system with the Sentinel case. Another important fact is this. These speculative derivatives have super priority status in a bankruptcy proceeding which means that any derivative contract holder gets paid first before shareholders, creditors, and depositors like you.

Contributing Financial Expert: It can well be that local government deposits are at risk in the event of a bail-in of one of the major banks of Wall Street when they next fail. Those are unsecured deposits. Now Wall Street likes to say they've been collateralized but the derivatives holdings of the major banks is several times the world's Gross Domestic Product. There isn't enough money in the world to cover major losses in that market so based on it to go down; first

the counterparties to the derivatives get covered. That's the new law. They get their money first. You get your money, you an individual depositor or you a city if there's any left. What you might get instead is equity in the bank. So instead of having \$400 billion to meet your city's payroll, you may have \$200 million and \$200 million in new stock in the bank in which you just became a shareholder but try meeting your payroll in stock in a failed bank.

Contributing Financial Expert: If you think that the FDIC see will still come riding to the rescue, consider these facts. This chart will look at figures. The FDIC insurance fund balance, the total deposits in U.S. banks, and the total derivatives exposure of the big banks. The FDIC has approximately 25 billion in its fund to cover losses. The total deposits in U.S. banks total 9,283 billion. The U.S. banks' derivatives total is 297,514 billion. The FDIC could only cover .25% of all deposits and the FDIC could only cover .008% of the derivatives.

Contributing Financial Expert: Looking at the FDIC Bank of England joint paper summary, the text clearly says that in the U.S. they will use the powers granted under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform Act and losses will be assigned to shareholders and unsecured creditors. Remember, that as a depositor in a bank under the law, you are an unsecured creditor. Moving further on down in this document, the text clearly says that the strategy for a failed global systemically important financial institution will be to assign losses to shareholders and unsecured creditors. Going further down the same document, you will see that the text clearly says that a resolution strategy for a failed globally systemically important financial institution will be to assign the losses to shareholders and unsecured creditors. Remember that you are now looking at an official government document and the plans for confiscation.

If you are a public official with fiduciary responsibility to protect public moneys this news is critically important with far reaching implications about what your responsibility demands. If you can't deposit public moneys into the large Wall Street banks without being at proven risk of losing access to it to confiscation under existing law, what options are there? Well, one option would be to create a public bank for your municipality like North Dakota did 94 years ago. Their public bank was completely unaffected by the Wall Street bank collapses and financial trials back in 2008 and in fact boasted one of their largest profits ever while mighty global financial institutions fell. The Bank of North Dakota which treats its public funds as utility rather than a speculative fund to gamble for profits has a simple purpose; to preserve and protect its assets while investing in local priorities instead of non-local international corporations. It is completely independent of global bankers' greed and risky behaviors. Its money cannot be confiscated.

Contributing Financial Expert: We're making the argument that the biggest banks of Wall Street really aren't safe. That they've got so much exposure in derivatives and who knows what else, they're in danger of going down and taking depositors with them. We think money is much safer closer to home. It's also more productively used. All the money Philadelphia has on deposit with Wells Fargo, that's money's not being put to work in Philadelphia. Let's put the money locally where it can be put to use in Philadelphia.

Narrator - Public officials need to be clear about this risk. While the prospect of fund confiscations sounds startling it is none the less true that the risk is not only there it is promised to take place if such bank failures occur once again and you're probably aware that such failures are expected by many, if not most financial observers. This is your moment. A time to step-up to the plate. Look around at the environment and the financial players pitted against you and to act prudently in the interest of your community. The era of blind trust in the institutions of global finance is over. Public fiscal officers and citizens alike would be well served to learn more about how to create a local public bank and what it might look like to have a new financial engine that provides for community growth, funds security and increased local investment. There is now an urgent choice to be made at every municipal level. Either leave your public money in the hands of proven gamblers with the promise that it will be confiscated when more bad deals go down or take a bold innovative step to empower and safeguard community funds by adopting the proven public bank alternative.

Contributing Financial Expert: So consider yourself warned. Money is not safe in the big banks. The MF Global losses, the Cyprus confiscations, the legal precedence of the Sentinel case, the FDIC/Bank of England joint paper, the plan for confiscations in Canada, New Zealand and the European Union should all be sending red flags. Personal accounts, municipal money, county money, university money, deposited in the big banks is all at risk. The plans for confiscation have been developed. They have been approved and they are awaiting the next crisis.

Acting Secretary of State Stewart advised that Acting President Geiger requested it be announced that the governors were informed on Monday that the executive branch has done their job in respect to the presidential election. Notice has been served and arrangements made for an ad to be run in all 50 states via *USA Today*. The Secretary advises that Congress has completed its job and confirmed that we've reverted back to the Act of 1792. The announcement was made that it is now time for the States to step-up and take action. Secretary Stewart announced that if States have not had elections in their State to where they have representatives, they must act quickly to do so in order to qualify in having Electors in the upcoming election. "If you need help from your neighboring States, States that are in good standing speak up, reach out. It is like a buddy system. But it truly is in your court now. Whatever happens with this election in December is up to the States."

Acting Secretary Stewart announced that one of the Republic district court judges, Paul Sellors, and his family are in need of assistance. He had done some work on behalf of a Republic member which resulted in facing some legal problems of his own. To not jeopardize Judge Sellors, his legal case, or his family, the merits of his case could not be discussed. A fund has been set-up with Wells Fargo Bank under the name of his wife, Libbie Sellors, with account number 5397313585. Contributions may be made by making a deposit at any Wells Fargo Bank into that account.

Chair of the Senate Brett LaRue (Oklahoma) commented on the evening's topic as "a scary thing" and advised that the Senate works to verify and validate information in order to secure grounds for the solutions that are being worked on to present to the American people. The established procedure of the Senate will also prevent any chance of being found foolish for not verifying and validating the

findings. He stated that he marvels at what God is doing out of what appears to be things that are causing additional problems or division, as it is leading Congress to find things that wouldn't otherwise have been found. He reports what has presented itself as adversity has in fact been a blessing by assisting in establishing "firmer ground."

"I want to encourage everyone that the solutions are out there; our Founding Fathers were absolutely inspired people. The law that has been set down for our country is absolutely marvelous and we are doing everything that we can to put into order so that it's easy for people to understand, to break it down, to make it apply, so it's not theory, it's not a game, it's not politics, it's not opinion, it's law. We can build upon it and it'll be a place where our families can be safe. It's a house that we can bring people into, and that we will find our rest." The Senate committees are very active, with confirmations of nominated officers about to be confirmed so the Republic can carry things forward.

Speaker John Rockwell (Oregon) reports that the House of Representatives continues to be very active in research and committee work. With the summer schedule having ended, the House now meets every Tuesday evening. There is also an established conference call meeting to discuss the status of work being accomplished in committees. Speaker Rockwell spoke of a joint ad hoc committee where both the House and Senate are working together. "It is a Documentation Committee for the States and works toward researching and determining how the States came into the Union." The Speaker of the House advises that the committee is researching the archived journals of the national Congress as well as archives of the State legislatures to ensure that the States were properly brought into the Union and in compliance with law pertaining to statehood as well as coming into Republic with the rest of the States. As a leader, Speaker Rockwell encourages the Representatives' involvement in the workload as well as assisting them to see the vision that God has for His Republic. "We're progressing, we're standing like both Acting President Geiger and President Turner have [exhorted]." Expressing that he desires President Turner's release from being unlawfully incarcerated, he acknowledged the necessity of following through in law by "going through the motions toward the elections, keeping the process going." Speaker Rockwell expressed his gratitude toward the executive branch officers for their work and affirmed that the House has completed its part in the process with the responsibility now lying with the individual States.

The **Treading Waters** segment of the Republic News Network program is presented by Roger Waters of Indiana. The focus is in looking at current events that are happening in the world and how it relates to the Republic in an opinion-editorial format. Another objective is to provide information for use as a recruiting tool as well as to show the world that the Republic is the answer. The views and opinions expressed in this segment do not necessarily reflect the official position of *the Republic for the United States of America*.

Mr. Waters interjected some thought-provoking math on the topic of money. "If you spend a dollar per second, it takes almost 11 days to spend a million dollars. If you spend a dollar a second, it takes 33,000 years to spend a trillion dollars. The U.S. is now in debt \$17 trillion and another 125 trillion in unfunded entitlement liabilities. Now if you do the math, this was what it comes up to: \$145 trillion over just one year and that would equal \$4,597.9 per second."

The evening's topic was entitled "The Muslim Brotherhood + the Obama Connection." Waters made reference to an Egyptian newspaper that recently ran a front page headline and story claiming that Mr. Obama is a full-on member of the Muslim Brotherhood international. Also highlighted was a recent interview on Egyptian television of Tahini al-Gebali, Vice President of Egypt's Supreme Constitutional Court.

Understanding that Egypt has had traumatic problems for over the past year in which the Muslim Brotherhood has been a major player, Waters reports that the problems have spread throughout the Middle East with Brotherhood interconnections to al Qaeda, Hezbollah, and other known terrorist organizations. Waters poses a thought-provoking question, "If Mr. Obama is a full-fledge member of this organization, does he have the Constitutional right to be a president?"

Waters read highlights from key comments of Tahini al-Gebali's recent appearance on Egyptian television, and provided on the *Treading Waters'* hard copy outline available with the *Republic News Network* email announcement. A summary of Ms. Gebali's interview relates that she said the time was nearing when all the conspiracies against Egypt would be exposed, which explains why the Obama administration is so vehemently supportive of the Muslim Brotherhood, an organization whose terrorism has run rampant in Egypt with Christian churches amongst their destructive targets.

Ms. Gebali referred to "documents and proofs" which Egypt's intelligence agencies possess and stated that "time for them to come out into the open has come." She told about the proof in documentation of very large financial exchanges between international bodies and the Muslim Brotherhood stating that soon they would be named. Ms. Gebali stated that Obama's Kenyan half-brother, Malik Obama, "is one of the architects of the investments of the international organization of the Muslim Brotherhood." The stunned host stopped her, asking her to repeat what she said. Ms. Gebali confidently made restatement and added, "...if necessary we have to alert our people" apparently meaning that Obama's support for the Muslim Brotherhood against Egypt, which is causing Egyptian officials to spill-the-beans as to the true nature of the relationship between the U.S., the Muslim Brotherhood, and Egypt. She also stated that the Egyptian people are offering the American people this piece of information as a gift, wanting them to know that their president supports the Muslim Brotherhood and implying that there are more revelations to come. Perhaps this information explains a lot about current U.S. foreign policy in the Middle East and specifically and most recently pertaining to Syria. In early July, the Egyptian people, along with the help of their military, successfully unseated and jailed Muslim Brotherhood member and former Egyptian president Muhammed Morsi.

Waters called the attention of his audience to a June report of talk show host Jay Sekulow that the U.S. had just contributed more tax dollars to Egypt (while Morsi was still seated as president) and the radical Muslim Brotherhood. This was at the same time that Morsi appointed Adel Al Khayat as governor of the ancient city and tourist destination, Luxor. Mr. Waters pointed out that Khayat was the lead "political" arm of the terrorist organization that massacred tourists in Luxor in 1997 with crude details that include the dead being disemboweled and notes placed inside their mutilated bodies "praising Islam."

Waters read the June New York Times report, affirming the White House decision to increase its financial support for President Morsi and the Muslim Brotherhood, quietly clearing the way for the U.S. to give Egypt \$1.3 billion in military aid. That is, until Morsi was jailed by the Egyptian people and Mr. Obama decided to cut-off financial assistance as reported in the July 4th New York Times news report.

Provoking his audience as to why the United States government would give terrorists money, he moved on to the topic, "Is the Muslim Brotherhood acting in America today?" According to the *Washington Post*, U.S. Muslim Brotherhood supporters' make-up the U.S. Islamic community and they are an organized force that runs hundreds of mosques and business ventures, promoting civic activities, and setting up American Islamic organizations to defend and promote Islam. Waters cited various chapters and societies of various Muslim organizations established in the U.S. from 1963 throughout the 1980s.

Returning to his question pertaining to the Corporation's CEO, Mr. Obama as being eligible to be president of the United States, Waters referred to the supreme law of the land, the Constitution for the United States of America.

Article II, paragraph 5: "No person except a natural born citizen, or a citizen of the United States at the time of the adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the office of President; neither shall any person be eligible to that office who shall not have attained to the age of thirty five Years, and been fourteen years a resident within the United States." Waters interjected, "The Republic for the United States of America is a government of the People, by the People, and for the People and is not of any people outside of the United States. That would include the Muslim Brotherhood." He points out that the Constitution gives the president the powers to do things within the established government of the United States, not outside the borders thereof.

Article III, Section 2. "The judicial power shall extend to all cases, in law and equity, arising under this Constitution..." Waters points out that if a case would be presented that does not fall under Constitutional law, it would not be received by the judiciary to be heard. "Only the courts of this ...Republic can deal with cases that arise under the Constitution.

Article IV, Section 4. "The United States shall guarantee to every state in this union a republican form of government, and shall protect each other against invasion; and on application of the legislature, or of the executive (when the legislature cannot be convened), against domestic violence." Waters points out that if there are members of the Muslim Brotherhood in this country that have intent to do harm to the American people, it is the government's duty under the Constitution to protect the people. He points out that if local law enforcement is instructed by superior officers or other agencies to do anything contrary to what the Constitution stipulates and they follow through, they violate their oath to the Constitution. "They are here to serve and protect ...the people when they have a republican form of government."

Article I, Section 9, Line 8. "No title of nobility shall be granted by the United States. And no person holding any office of profit or trust under them shall, without the consent of the Congress, accept of any present emolument, office, or title, of any kind whatever, from any king, prince, or foreign state."

Waters interjects that officials who operate with a secret agenda operate as contrary to our Constitution.

Mr. Waters interjects that America is very close to the tyranny of what was described in the audio played earlier in the evening. He projects that those who operate in conspiracy to bring harm to America are like those on the battlefield when the enemy knows that they are defeated. "They don't care. They'll pull out every single thing they have trying to take [down] as many as they can, and this is what the corporation is doing right now. It's a last ditch effort to get a last penny they can from the American people because they know they're going down and there's nothing they can do to stop it."

So what is the alternative? It's simple. The Republic has been re-inhabited. Become a part of this. We need your help to do it. You're in this thing for life. Your families are in it for generation to generation as long as the Lord does not come back. This is His Republic. Be a part of it because it will not only bless you, but it will change your life [in a positive way].

Justice Pete Moake – one supreme Court closed the evening's program in prayer.

###

The Republic Informational Call for Governors as well as for free state Executive and Judicial branch officers is chaired by Governor Dan Owens (Indiana). Chief Justice Mike Brum (Wisconsin) opened the conference call meeting in prayer.

Wisconsin Chief Justice Michael Brum was invited to speak pertaining to the newly formed Judicial Conference Call. Chief Justice Brum exhorted the States' governors and other officers to alert their States' justices to make contact at justice.admin@republicoftheunitedstates.org in order to register and receive training materials. To make attendance more convenient for the judicial branch officers, the conference calls have been moved from Friday to Sunday evenings at 5:30 p.m. Pacific time. Training begins this coming Sunday. Chief Justice Brum advises that it is imperative that participants have the training materials ahead of time in order to study and be prepared before Sunday's training call.

Chair of the Senate Brett LaRue (Oregon) reports that the Senate is pressing forward and advises that this particular house of Congress has experienced some very beneficial conference call meetings over the last few weeks. With the summer schedule now over, both houses of Congress are now meeting every week instead of every other week. Senator LaRue advises that the Senate is making considerable progress in understanding our laws. Having just left Republic Chaplain Wade Butler's prayer call to attend this particular conference call meeting, the Senator interjected an impressionable statement made by Chaplain Butler to the effect that there is "a big difference between facts and truth."

Senator LaRue gave specific reference to words that are highlighted in the patriot movement and that the Republic has been researching, "de facto" and "de jure." "That's exactly what 'fact' and 'truth' is." He went on to explain that "de facto" is what we're experiencing at the moment but the "truth" is very different to that. Advising the executive and judicial branch officers that he is convinced that the laws that were enacted by our Founders up to a certain point are "absolutely great laws," he also stated that

“Our Founders were exceptional. They really had it together. They really understood the purpose of protecting the people and that is the ‘truth.’ It’s ‘truth’ that it was founded in scriptures that they translated through their character into the things that eventually became our laws. And that’s the truth for our government.”

“The ‘facts’ that we have to deal with right now cause all kinds of problems, but they’re not the ‘truth.’ Senator LaRue pointed out that he could say it repeatedly; however, “it’s not going to make a difference until you guys say it. When you see the same truth that we’re seeing, when you take the time to study it out for yourself and see it for yourself, and it comes out of your mouth, then it becomes true to you.”

The Chair of the Senate suggested the officers consider a parallel. “It’s just like confessing the scriptures over certain things and problems ...you know ‘it’s the truth that will set us free,’ that’s what the Bible says. So we need to look for the truth and the truth is there, it’s in the ‘de jure’ law; that is our truth.”

He points out that the things that are not contrary to the Constitution is what the Senate and the House as well as others are looking to verify and validate and where we are to take our stand. “It says then ‘you will know the truth and the truth will set you free’ and that is what we’re looking for. We are looking to be set free from this system of government that we’ve been enslaved under for a long time. Where they are using F.E.A.R. False Evidence Appearing Real, we are seeking evidence by finding the truth in the ‘de jure’ law, in the Statues at Large, in the journals of the Senate and of the House and of the Congress when they meet together. [Those] are all of the things that we need. The remedy is in the Constitution. It is in the de jure law. We are winning. We are winning the battle.”

Senator LaRue tells the officers that we need more help from around the country to assist in the Republic government. More representatives are needed in the House and other States’ participation. “There’s been a lot of controversy that has come about in this session of our government. But we have yet to see a single complaint filed in the right place to settle the differences. There’s no evidence, there’s no lawful claim to substantiate any of the other issues that have been brought to you. Lacking any claim in law that has any meaning, we are pressing forward to verify and validate the truth. That’s all we’re doing.”

Speaker of the House John Rockwell (Oregon) advises that the House of Representatives along with their various committees are now on the Fall schedule. Where Governor Owens has requested that the Chairpersons of the committees come to the Republic Informational Call for Governors and free state executive and judicial branch officers to provide a firsthand update of their work, Speaker Rockwell advises conflict in call schedules prevent their attendance. The Speaker advises that he is arranging to have the Chairs prepare an update in writing for him to deliver in place of their attendance. As Chair of the joint ad hoc committee with the Senate on States’ documentation, Speaker Rockwell advises that the previous week did not have enough people for a quorum to do business. Senator LaRue interjected that the conversation of participating senators and representatives of the Documentation Committee led to what he describes as “a significant breakthrough” that carried forward into conversation in the Senate.

Speaker Rockwell placed an invitation to listen to the House of Representatives at work via the gallery conference call number. The next House meeting includes review of the November-December schedule with holidays.

Jean Hertler of Wisconsin was invited to share from a phone conversation that she had with President Turner the previous evening. Jean advises that the President is yet blocked from calling most of his people. He is still held at Montgomery, Alabama and sounds very good, strong, and remains faith-filled. "Our President is in process of writing a letter of things that he has to say to the people and advises that he senses that the Lord has appointed now as the time to say them. So that will be coming shortly." Also shared was the President stated that he senses very strongly that "things are happening, and something is about to happen. He stated that we must move forward, and he is not opposed to the elections."

Governor Owens requested that Chief Justice Brum give a judicial update. The Chief Justice advised that he was limited in what he could divulge at this point but could state that the judiciary's goal is to be fully operational by the end of the year. The training classes which begin this Sunday is intended for all of the justices, all attorney generals, and clerks in the Republic. Justice Brum advises that he expects a very large turnout. Conference calls for the judicial branch are described by the Justice as having been good with participation as fluctuating between great and very little. Determining that Friday night may be the reason why attendance was down at times, the judiciary then changed the conference call meeting to Sunday evenings so more people would be able to attend. "We're going all the way back to 1861 and that's where we're starting out with our classes."

Governor Owens stressed to the executive and judicial branch officers listening to the conference call to get the word out to their States, as getting the judicial branch fully functional is projected to be a vital part of where we're at as well as in going forward. Chief Justice Brum advised that the class will go for 7 or 8 weeks straight. Those coming into the training after it begins would present challenges. He states that they may have to create another call for any "stragglers" because of the importance of staying focused on the goal as well as the need to be diligent without distractions. "It's very important that we're up and running by the end of this year." A playback conference call number will be provided to participants via email communication.

Governor Owens exhorted participation of the executive and judicial branches to share what they are working on in their individual States. He invited questions pertaining to the upcoming elections. For States that were close to becoming "green," the offer of assistance was made. For those needing assistance and direction to solidify their States' membership, an exhortation was made to speak-up.

Senator LaRue talked about things that leadership has been wrestling with in the restoration process which include defining terms, understanding the timeline of the development of our country, as well as the remedies that already exist in common law through the Declaration of Independence, the Articles of Confederation, the Northwest Ordinance, the original Constitution and then the subsequent statutes that were passed pursuant to those founding documents. Conversations in the joint committee on State documentation include review of how the States were adopted. While digging through research it was

found that the adoption was done by joint action of the Congress, the Senate and the House working together. "That led us to examine the procedures by which the States were brought into the union after the original 13 colonies. It appears that the original 13 colonies were kind of "grandfathered-in."

Senator LaRue explained that the Northwest Ordinance was enacted through the Continental Congress several months before the original Constitution was adopted in 1787. The Northwest Ordinance is what was used as a model to expand beyond west of the Ohio River to take on new territories. Then as the territories developed enough people, the territories were subsequently sectioned into States. The Northwest Ordinance outlines a process by which the Congress at that time began by appointing 5 people to manage or govern the territory. It is important to keep in mind that at that time the Continental Congress had only one body, known as the House of Delegates. It was not a two-body Senate and House configuration. Through that House of Delegates they appointed 5 people in a territory to help put together what would have eventually become a State. Those 5 appointments were a governor, a secretary and 3 judges.

Once the national Constitution was adopted in 1787 they operated under it until 1788 when at that time they passed an Act putting off operating under the Constitution until 1789, the first Wednesday in March. So there was approximately a year-and-a-half that the Founders in the Continental Congress put off adopting or acting under the Constitution. This gives us precedence for action in law. The Founders knew that the States could not be compliant.

Senator LaRue talked about criticism from various individuals who claimed that Republic Congress was not doing things constitutionally. He advised that there is no intent to sidestep the Constitution in any way. He also stated that we do have all of the components to act under the Constitution. "Those things that we can do, we are doing to the best of [our] understanding and the things that we can't do we just can't do."

The Chair of the Senate verbalized identifying areas where help is needed in the Republic that pertains to some States that have never participated as well as with some States that feel that they don't have to participate, which the Senator points out as being false. Referencing a phrase in the Articles of Confederation that many in the States' rights movement key-in on pertaining to the "the States are free and independent states," Senator LaRue agrees that it is an absolutely true statement. "We are not contesting that." He went on to talk about the treaty with England and pointing out the question posed as to whether the language was precise. "It says that the king was represented or backed-up by that treaty because it happened after the Articles of Confederation. But it confirmed that the king was recognizing the colonies as free and independent states." The Senator goes on to state that those who argue the States' rights from the aforementioned phrase fail to finish stating the rest of the sentence of the phrase cited as key in the Articles of Confederation where it states "except as already enumerated."

"So whatever is enumerated in there are rights that the States have delegated to the Federal government and that they agree to [what they are going] to do in common in a uniform way for the benefit of the people. Those things were passed by the delegates from every State with a quorum and a majority vote and so it's a lawful process." Continuing on, the Senator points out that the document

stipulates that once the States become part of the Union they become enviably an inseparable part of the Union. “In other words their territory belongs to the United States... and all 50 states that have at this point done that. If they vacate their body politic, [the State] still belongs to the United States. That is the premise for us salvaging the seats. That is the very premise that we are operating on. So it comes from the Articles of Confederation that all of the territories belong to the United States, to the Constitution strictly speaking.”

Because those territories have already become States with defined boundaries, there is a precedent to follow in the restoration process. For a State to be considered “green,” which is another way of saying “duly chosen and sworn,” Senator LaRue stated the precedent was heeded by appointing the 5 different positions that are a benefit to us in the process because the State already exists. “It’s just that their body politic was abandoned. So for our intents and purposes to give the States representation in the Congress, which is the whole purpose of the Federal government, is for the people to have representation under the Constitution, that’s what we’re working toward.”

Reflecting back to the first session in Congress in the re-inhabited Republic, Senator LaRue explains that many States were encouraged to write their own constitutions. He points out that many had done so, including his home State of Oklahoma. “And here’s the problem with that. Because we were already accepted into the union of States using the original Constitution and we went through the process dictated in the Northwest Ordinance, we’re already accepted under those documents. Our body politic already exists; we just need to man the positions. So we’re not looking to reform. We’re not looking to remodel, we’re just looking to restore.”

Where some of the senators struggled with this particular idea as they felt strongly that they needed to rewrite their constitution, Senator LaRue recounts that the dialogue was good in that it came from a couple different thought processes. Where one State may have thought that they needed to rewrite their State constitution simply because it wasn’t certain they would be accepted with their original State constitution, it wasn’t a matter of being that they thought it was a bad document, they were concerned that they were not going to be accepted. The Senator interjects that as a great idea.

A couple of other States re-wrote their constitutions based on their belief that it was their right. “Okay so here’s the problem with that. You’re already accepted under the original Union as it stands. The original document was already accepted.” He cites this issue as being a key item for the benefit of the justices. Talking about the word “contrary” in relation to the Constitution, the Senator refers to it as a “hinge” in the Constitution. “If it’s not contrary, it’s lawful. So if it is contrary, it simply means it’s unenforceable. It’s like contracts being done in business. When there’s a clause in the contract that stipulates whatever part of the contract is unenforceable in the jurisdiction, it simply has no force or effect. But it doesn’t take away from the things that are enforceable. It’s the same process in the Constitution – if there is something in the original document that is contrary to the Constitution, then it’s simply unenforceable.”

“It can be changed but here’s the deal. Every one of those [State] constitutions that was accepted under the Constitution of the United States has a clause in it that has requirements [before they can] amend

that State constitution.” Pointing out that none of the States have the required number of people in order to amend their State constitutions at this juncture, the need becomes clear that knowledge of the number of people necessary before their State constitution can be changed must be known. Senator LaRue also points out the other point of consideration, “Is it necessary to change the Constitution?” “It’s simply a matter of the judges having an understanding of what is contrary, because if it’s contrary, it’s null and void on its face based on what the Constitution says. It’s unenforceable. It’s not withstanding.

Reflecting on problems that Republic Congress has had to contend with are what the Senator describes as “simply unenforceable ...and yet they were enforced at that time.” Considering that the Congressmen that did these things are long ago passed on, the things that they did “in law” back then have since and in years going forth been built upon “in law” based upon the precedence of what they enacted. Senator LaRue points out that this is what is undermining our process right now. “It is what led to the Corporation breaking away and starting a new jurisdiction that they operate under. ...the law of the land still stands and all we’re trying to do is restore to that point.

Reference was made to the “Joint Resolution to Affirm Unity in an Interim Government” that was approved by Republic Congress in the first session. Its purpose served to direct the States to revert to their original organic State constitutions. After studies and research, Senator LaRue points out that it is now understood that the Resolution is redundant as the information already existed in the Constitution. The direction existed with the Northwest Ordinance, “we just simply had not done enough research to determine that the information was there.”

Addressing what Congress does with the Resolution now that it is passed, the Senator says it would be wrong action to repeal it as that would require enacting another law. “How do you enact a law to something that already existed? Senator LaRue continues, “So you take it before the justices if you need to and the justices will simply say this is redundant, it’s unnecessary; we’re directing that no judge should enforce this. It’s very simple based on the Constitution.”

States can lawfully amend their constitutions under prescribed terms and conditions. If a State decides to refuse their original constitution, consideration will have to be given to that now-rejected document as what was instrumental in admitting their State into the Union. They would then be required in law to reapply under the Northwest Ordinance. In the first session of Congress in 1789, the Continental Congress, they codified the Northwest Ordinance in what is called ‘1 Statute 50.’ They also passed the powers of appointment from the Congress to the president with advice and consent of the senate. Senator LaRue explains that this is why Acting President Geiger made appointments to the New Jersey and Pennsylvania nominated governors. “That’s why we’re pulling our committees together in the Congress, because in the rules of the Congress in 1868 directed all of the appointments to go right to a committee. I assure you that we’re doing all that we can to include everybody and to make sure that they’re not excluding themselves because of their actions.”

Governor Owens and Acting Secretary Stewart concurred with this explanation of how the process must work and commented on the Senator’s unique ability to break things down and explain the process very

simply. Senator LaRue explained that he finds benefit in breaking things down into “timelines and boxes” in order to have ability to put the pieces together in a sequence of events as well as precedents of how the Founders put things together. Glad to assist others, the Senator stated that others would benefit if they would seek to learn to do these same things in their journey and pursuit of attaining a restored Republic.

The Senator interjected that the next step is to figure out where we are in that process and then we have to deal with some things that appear to be facts but they’re not true in the law. Referencing earlier comments on the difference between fact and truth Senator LaRue stated, “The difference between de facto and de jure is ‘de jure’ is by right. It’s our rights that have come from God which [are] based in truth. The other stuff [are] things that people have made up and that is not necessarily true, but it is a fact, or appears to be a fact at the moment.”

The Senator declares that we have remedy for those things, addressing the justices with an express gratitude and stating that some of these things will end up before them to work through. “It’s not going to be a difficult process but they’re going to take some heat for some of the decisions that are going to come upon them. We’re going to have to appeal to them to correct some of these errors because they were done in law. Those people [inferring to government officials from the mid-1800s] are not here anymore so we can’t remove them from office. The only thing that we can do is appeal to the justice system for an opinion on whether these people acted within their enumerated powers and if they acted within their enumerated powers, [ask for an opinion as to whether it] it contrary to the Constitution. So they’re going to have a couple of decisions that they’re going to have to look at in order to process these things.”

Acting Secretary Stewart announced to the officers that Minnesota District Court Judge Paul Sellors and his family face a financial hardship that results from Judge Sellors’ assistance to a Republic member. More information as well as the means to assist the Sellors family is provided earlier in this report as well as below.

Governor Owens asks the officers to continue to write our President as he loves hearing from the people. Acting Secretary Stewart provided his address: James Timothy Turner, c/o #99120 MCDF, PO Box 4599, Montgomery, Alabama 36103

Justice Pete Moake (Illinois) closed the evening’s program with prayer.

Please continue to lift our President in prayer and consider a donation of any amount for related expenses on his behalf at: God’s Will Be Done, c/o 3040 SE Persons Court, Portland Oregon [97267]. Any amount is welcome and not considered too small.

Kindly consider prayer for District Court Judge, Paul Sellors and his family and consider a donation of any amount to assist them in their time of need. Contributions may be made by making a deposit at any Wells Fargo Bank in the name of Libbie Sellors, using account number 5397313585.

#####